arXiv:cond-mat/0201182v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 11 Jan 2002

Electric-field induced phase transitions in rhombohedral $\mathbf{Pb(Zn_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})_{1-x}Ti_xO_3}$

B. Noheda* and Z. Zhong, D.E. Cox, and G. Shirane
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

S-E. Park
Fraunhofer-IBMT Technology Center Hialeah,
Hialeah, Florida 33010

P. Rehring
Materials Research Laboratory,
The Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

(Dated: February 6, 2008)

High-energy x-ray diffraction experiments peformed on rhombohedral $Pb(Zn_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})_{1-x}Ti_xO_3$ (PZN-x%PT) crystals with x= 4.5 and 8% show that an electric field applied along the [001] direction induces the tetragonal phase, as proposed by Park and Shrout. Our experiments reveal that in PZN-4.5%PT such phase change occurs *via* a third phase with monoclinic symmetry, M_A, which is observed at intermediate field values. This is in agreement with first-principles calculations by Fu and Cohen predicting the rotation of the polarization between the rhombohedral and tetragonal phases in this material. A different polarization path between the rhombohedral and tetragonal phases, through a second monoclinic phase, M_C, has been previously reported in PZN-8%PT. The microscopic characterization of these crystals allows us to explain the ultra-high macroscopic strain observed in PZN-x%PT under an electric field. Moreover, some unusual scattering profiles displayed by exceptionally good crystals, are experimental evidence of the high anharmonicities and near-degeneracy of the different phases in these extremely deformable materials.

PACS numbers: 77.65.-j, 61.10.Nz, 77.84.Dy

I. INTRODUCTION

Piezoelectric single crystals of the relaxor- ferroelectric material $Pb(Zn_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})_{1-x}Ti_xO_3$ (PZN-x%PT) oriented along an [001] direction, show exceptionally large piezoelectric deformations, more than 1% [1, 2]. In their pioneering work, Park and Shrout[2] proposed that the origin of the ultra-high strain values observed in [001]-oriented PZN-8%PT (8PT) was a rhombohedral-to-tetragonal phase transition induced by the electric field. Later, Liu et al.[3] reported similar behavior in PZN-4.5%PT (4.5PT) (see Fig. 1a). A revolution in the world of piezoelectric devices seems certain to occur if the physical properties of such highly deformable materials can be understood and controlled.

Diffraction experiments on 8PT under an applied [001] field have revealed the true long-range symmetry evolution to be from a rhombohedral to a monoclinic phase [4]. These measurements were performed on relatively thick samples, and a single tetragonal phase could not be reached before a sample breakdown (see Fig. 1b). However it was shown that it is the existence of such a monoclinic phase, rather than a tetragonal one, which is crucial in explaining the outstanding properties of these materials.

Monoclinic phases have been observed in the temperature-composition phase diagrams of three of the most important piezoelectric systems, $Pb(Zr_{1-x}Ti_x)O_3$ (PZT) [5], PZN-x%PT [6, 7, 8], and very recently also in $Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})_{1-x}Ti_xO_3$ (PMN-PT) [9, 10, 11, 12], for compositions around the morphotropic phase boundary (MPB), which represents the nearly vertical limit between the rhombohedral and the tetragonal phases. Furthermore, it has been observed that the region of stability of those new phases is enlarged following the application of an electric field [4, 13, 14]. Optical [15] and x-ray diffraction [16, 17] measurements have also indicated a symmetry lowering in poled 8PT.

In contrast to the rhombohedral or tetragonal phases, the polarization vectors in the monoclinic phases are no longer constrained to be directed along a symmetry axis and can rotate within the monoclinic plane. However, different polarization rotation paths have been observed in these materials, resulting in two types of monoclinic distortion, M_A (as found in PZT) and M_C (as found in 8PT) with space groups Cm and Pm, respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 1c, in the M_A type, the monoclinic plane is the pseudo-cubic (1-10) plane and the unit cell is doubled in volume with respect to the 4 Å pseudo-cubic unit cell. In the M_C type, the unit cell is primitive

---

*Corresponding author, e-mail: noheda@bnl.gov

FIG. 1: a) Macroscopic strain vs. electric field for 4.5PT after ref. 3. b) Lattice parameters vs. electric field for 8PT, adapted from ref.4. c) Polarization vectors in the perovskite unit cell, shown by thick arrows. The thick lines represent the paths followed by the end of the polarization vector in the monoclinic phases, in between the rhombohedral (R), tetragonal (T) and orthorhombic (O) phases. The M_A, M_B, M_C notation is adopted following Vanderbilt and Cohen[18]

plied along the [111] direction, no change of symmetry is observed and the crystal in the ordered state is still rhombohedral. As described above, in this work the crystals are poled along the [001] direction. Very narrow mosaics were observed in the diffraction patterns demonstrating the excellent quality of the crystals.

Single-crystal diffraction experiments were carried out at the National Synchrotron Light Source, on beamlines X17B1 and X22A, with high-energy x-rays of 67 keV (∼0.18 Å) and 32 keV (∼0.38 Å), respectively. High-energy x-rays are needed to observe the crystal structure underneath the *skin* of the sample, which extends a few microns below the surfaces[4, 23]and behaves differently from the bulk, as shown by Ohwada et al. [14]. At X17B1, the high-flux monochromatic beam was obtained from a superconducting wiggler device by use of a Si(220) crystal in Laue-Bragg geometry. At X22A, the third-order reflection of a Si(111) monochromator crystal was used to provide the 32 keV beam. Both beam-

FIG. 3: Evolution of lattice parameters with an electric field applied along the [001] direction in the second 4.5PT crystal in the monoclinic and tetagonal phases, as observed by high-energy x-ray diffraction. The thick lines represents the macroscopic unipolar strain along the [001] direction obtained by dilatometric measurements on the same sample. The thinner lines are a guide to the eye.

III. RESULTS

Three 4.5PT crystals (A, B and C) were studied. In crystals A and B the monoclinic phase was unambiguously observed for E ¡ 30 kV/cm, consistent with the macroscopic strain measurements shown in Fig. 1a [2]. The results obtained for crystal A are summarized in Fig. 2. The initial state at E = 0, for which $a_m/\sqrt{2} > c_m > b_m/\sqrt{2}$, corresponds to a rhombohedral phase with $a_r = 4.055$Å and $\alpha_r = 89.9^o$ [24]. Under the application of an electric field along the [001] direction, a monoclinic phase of M_A-type is induced for E < 30 kV/cm, as shown by a steady decrease in $a_m/\sqrt{2}$ and $b_m/\sqrt{2}$, and a corresponding increase in c_m (Fig. 2, top), and by a mesh scan in the reciprocal HHL plane (the monoclinic plane) around the pseudocubic (220) reflection at E = 20 kV/cm (Fig. 2, bottom-left). This monoclinic phase is similar to the one observed in PZT[5], in which a_m and b_m are rotated 45^o about the pseudocubic [001] di-

FIG. 5: Mesh scans around the pseudo-cubic (200) reflection in the H0L (or 0KL) zone of reciprocal space for 8PT at E= 0 (a), 9 (b), 15 (c) and 35 (d) kV/cm. The intensities are plotted on a logarithmic scale

ent; they showed unambiguously a crossover between the monoclinic and tetragonal phases, but at an anomalously low value of ˜11 kV/cm for this composition. The evolution of the lattice parameters under a [001] electric field for this crystal is shown in Fig. 3. In this case, the tetragonal phase, characterized by two lattice parameters a_t and c_t, is indeed observed at high fields, as proposed by Park and Shrout on the basis of macroscopic measurements [2]. When the field is decreased, the tetragonal distortion c_t/a_t also decreases; however, the tetragonal phase does not transform directly into a rhombohedral phase at low fields. Instead, a monoclinic phase is observed as a_t splits into a_m and b_m, and the angle β between a_m and c_m becomes slightly greater than 90^o. This monoclinic phase is also of M_A-type, and as can be seen in Fig. 3, the crystal remains monoclinic even at E = 0. It is noteworthy that at this point, $c_m \sim a_m/\sqrt{2}$, corresponding to the singular case of a monoclinic cell with the polarization vector lying along the rhombohedral polar axis [111], probably as a result of the underlying monoclinic distortion of the oxygen octahedra. In

FIG. 6: Evolution of lattice parameters with an electric field applied along the [001] direction for a 8PT crystal. Solid symbols represent the monoclinic lattice parameters. Open symbols represent the tetragonal lattice parameters. Inverted triangles show the results of measurements of the c parameter with a 100 μm beam at two different depths in the crystal , as illustrated schematically in the inset.

oclinic and tetragonal phases depends on the sample composition, as well as on the experimental conditions, such as mechanical clamping. High energy x-ray diffraction has revealed that the critical fields at which the monoclinic-to-tetragonal phase change takes place are different across the sample thickness[14], most likely due to a distribution of strain. Fig. 6 shows the lattice parameters of one of the 8PT crystals under an [001] electric field. The results are very similar to those in Fig. 1b[4] but in this case the tetragonal phase could be reached. We observed an intermediate region (7< E < 20 kV/cm) in which the two phases, monoclinic M_C and tetragonal, coexist. Measurements of the c lattice parameter made with a narrow beam about 100 μm in width (inverted triangles in Fig. 6) showed that the tetragonal phase was indeed reached at different field values across the sample thickness, between 7-20 kV/cm.

FIG. 7: Sketch of the [001]-electric field vs. composition phase diagram for PZN-x%PT

which can also produce some exotic and unusual diffraction intensity distributions, especially for compositions very close to the MPB. Fig. 8a shows the three-peak pattern usually observed in 8PT crystals in the HOL zone around the pseudo-cubic (200) reflection at E=0, arising from the four different monoclinic domains[4]. However, on one occasion a very interesting rod-like intensity distribution was observed in the same crystal upon the removal of the electric field (Fig. 8b), the d-spacings of the rods being identical to those of the Bragg peaks. Unfortunately, we were never able to reproduce such a rod pattern, but we speculate that this interesting behavior may be due to a complicated domain formation. Another example of unusual diffuse scattering is shown in Fig. 8c., corresponding to the same region of the reciprocal space of a 8PT crsytal under a 25 kV/cm [001]-electric field. Most of the crystal has transformed into the tetragonal phase characterized by a single lattice parameter in this zone (as in Fig.5d). The sharpness of this reflection shows the excellent quality of the crystal and the accuracy of the electric field orientation. However, when plotted on a logarithmic scale (as in Fig. 8c), a fascinating fish-like shape is revealed, indicating that a small fraction of the sample retains a distribution of both, lattice parameters

FIG. 8: a) Typical profiles observed for 8PT in the HOL zone of reciprocal space around the pseudo-cubic (200) reflection at E= 0. b) Example of an unusual profile observed in the same region. c) An unusual intensity distribution observed for the same composition in the tetragonal phase. The intensities are on a logarithmic scale

and monoclinic angle.

To conclude, the polarization rotation path has been investigated for rhombohedral 4.5PT and 8PT single crystals under a [001] electric field. In 4.5PT the polarization vector rotates directly from [111] towards [001] via a monoclinic M_A phase. In 8PT, which lies closer to the MPB, the polarization vector jumps at a relatively low field to the (010) plane and rotates in this plane, via a monoclinic M_C phase, towards [001] when the field is increased[4]. For both compositions a single tetragonal phase has been observed at high fields. The behavior of the lattice parameters as a function of electric field can account for the ultra-high macroscopic piezoelectric deformations in terms of the microscopic deformation of the unit cell (rotation plus elongation).

On occasions, unique contour plots have been recorded, especially for the 8PT crystals, which show very peculiar intensity distributions and are believed to reflect the existence of heavily twinned materials and complicated local effects. Further work is needed to fully understand some of these features; in particular, a detailed study of the complicated diffuse scattering would provide very useful information about the local order in these materials. However, we may safely conclude that all the reported observations are consequences of the high anharmonicity and the delicate energy balance between the different phases in these highly deformable materials.

Acknowledgments

Stimulating discussions with G. Baldinozzi, L. Bellaiche, L.E. Cross, B. Dkhil, M. Durbin, K. Hirota, K. Ohwada, J-M. Kiat, D. Vanderbilt, D. Viehland, and T. Vogt, as well as the technical support of A. Langhorn are gratefully acknowledged. Financial support by DOE under contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 and the Office of Naval Research is also acknowledged.

---

[1] J. Kuwata, K. Uchino, and S. Nomura, Jpn.J. Appl. Phys., Part1 **21**, 1298 (1982).

[2] S-E. Park and T.R. Shrout, J. Appl. Phys. **82**, 1804 (1997).

[3] S-F. Liu, S-E. Park, T. Shrout and L.E. Cross, J. Appl. Phys. **85**, 2810 (1999)

[4] B. Noheda, D.E. Cox, G. Shirane, S-E. Park, L.E. Cross, and Z. Zhong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3891 (2001).

[5] B. Noheda, D. E. Cox, G. Shirane, J.A. Gonzalo, L.E. Cross, and S-E. Park, Appl. Phys. Lett **74**, 2059 (1999); B. Noheda, J.A. Gonzalo, L.E. Cross, R. Guo, S-E. Park, D.E. Cox, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 8687 (2000); B. Noheda, D.E. Cox, G. Shirane R, Guo, B. Jones, and L.E. Cross , Phys. Rev. B. **63**, 14103 (2001).

[6] D.E. Cox, B. Noheda, G. Shirane, Y. Uesu, K. Fujishiro, and Y. Yamada, Appl. Phys. Lett **79**, 400 (2001).

[7] Y. Uesu, M. Matsuda, Y. Yamada, K. Fujishiro, D.E. Cox, B. Noheda and G. Shirane, J. Phys. Soc. Japan (in press). E-print: cond-mat/00106552.

[8] D. La-Orauttapong, B. Noheda, Z-G. Ye, P.M. Gehring, J. Toulouse, D.E. Cox, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B (in press). E-print: cond-mat/0108264

[9] G. Xu, H. Luo, H. Xu and Z. Yin, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 020102(2001)

[10] Z-G. Ye, B. Noheda, M. Dong, D.E. Cox, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 184114 (2001).

[11] J.-M. Kiat, Y. Uesu, B. Dkhil, M. Matsuda, C. Malibert, and G. Calvarin, Phys. Rev. B. (in press).

[12] A. K. Singh and D. Pandey, J. Phys.:Condens. Matt. **13**, L931 (2001)

[13] R. Guo, L.E. Cross, S-E. Park, B. Noheda, D.E. Cox , and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5423 (2000).

[14] K. Ohwada, K. Hirota, P.W. Rehrig, P.M. Gehring, B. Noheda, Y. Fujii, S-E. Park, and G. Shirane, J. Phys.

Soc. Japan **70**, 2778 (2001)

[15] D-S. Paik, S-E. Park, S. Wada, S-F. Liu and T. Shrout, J. Appl. Phys. **85**, 1080 (1999)

[16] M.K. Durbin, J.C. Hicks, S.-E. park, and T.R. Shrout, J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 8159 (2000).

[17] D. Viehland, J. Appl. Phys. **88**, 4794 (2000); D. Viehland, J. Powers and L. Ewart, J. Appl. Phys. **88**, 4907 (2000).

[18] D. Vanderbilt and M.H. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B. **63**, 94108 (2001).

[19] J-M. Kiat, G. Baldinozzi, M. Dunlop, C. Malibert, B. Dkhil, C. Menoret, O. Masson, and M.T. Fernandez-Diaz, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **12**, 8411 (2000)

[20] L. Bellaiche, A. Garcia, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5427 (2000)

[21] L. Bellaiche, A. Garcia, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 060103(R) (2001)

[22] H. Fu and R.E. Cohen, Nature **403**, 281 (2000).

[23] B. Noheda, D.E. Cox, and G. Shirane, Ferroelectrics (in press). E-print: cond-mat/0109545.

[24] It can be easily shown that in the rhombohedral phase a_m, b_m and c_m are not longer independent, and are related to the rhombohedral lattice parameters, a_r and α_r, as follows: a_m= $2a_r cos(\alpha_r/2)$, b_m= $2a_r sin(\alpha_r/2)$ and c_m=a_r. The monoclinic angle, β, is then defined as 180^o-ϕ, where $cos(\phi) = [1 - 2sin^2(\alpha_r/2)]/cos(\alpha_r/2)$.

[25] L. E. Cross, AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 535, pp.1-15 (2000)

[26] P. Chaplya and G. P. Carman, J. Appl. Phys. **90**, 2578 (2001)